

# Analytical Solution to 2D SH (Shear Horizontal) ViscoElastic Wave Equation Using Cagniard–De Hoop Method

OYEKAN, Hammed A.

College of Petroleum and Geoscience (CPG), KFUPM

oyekan.geophysics@gmail.com

## Contents

|          |                                                                                                                     |          |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Governing Wave Equation</b>                                                                                      | <b>2</b> |
| <b>2</b> | <b>ViscoElastic Wave Equation</b>                                                                                   | <b>3</b> |
| <b>3</b> | <b>The Cagniard–De Hoop Method</b>                                                                                  | <b>3</b> |
| 3.1      | Step 1: Take the Laplace Transform with Respect to Time . . . . .                                                   | 4        |
| 3.2      | Step 2: Take the Fourier Transform in the x-Direction . . . . .                                                     | 5        |
| 3.3      | Step 3: Solve the ODE in the <b>z</b> -Direction . . . . .                                                          | 6        |
| 3.3.1    | Homogeneous Equation Solution . . . . .                                                                             | 6        |
| 3.3.2    | Apply Discontinuity (Jump) Condition from $\delta$ -function . . . . .                                              | 6        |
| 3.4      | Step 4: Take the Inverse Spatial Fourier Transform of the Fourier–Laplace Transformed Solution . . . . .            | 7        |
| 3.4.1    | Integral Manipulation (CDH Substitution) . . . . .                                                                  | 9        |
| 3.5      | Step 5: Cagniard Path . . . . .                                                                                     | 11       |
| 3.5.1    | The Cagniard Path Equation . . . . .                                                                                | 11       |
| 3.5.2    | Derivative of $p$ Along the Cagniard Path . . . . .                                                                 | 13       |
| 3.6      | Step 6: CDH Contour Deformation and Identification as Laplace Transform                                             | 15       |
| 3.6.1    | Contour Deformation . . . . .                                                                                       | 15       |
| 3.6.2    | Evaluating $\text{Im}\{(1/\nu) dp/d\tau\}$ on the Cagniard Path . . . . .                                           | 15       |
| 3.6.3    | The CDH Integral Representation . . . . .                                                                           | 16       |
| 3.7      | Step 7: Inverse Laplace Transform and Time-Domain Solution . . . . .                                                | 16       |
| 3.7.1    | (a) Elastic Limit ( $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ ): The Exact Closed-Form Time-Domain Green’s Function Solution . . . . . | 17       |

|       |                                                                                                   |    |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 3.7.2 | (b) Viscoelastic Case ( $\gamma > 0$ ): exact single-integral (Bromwich) representation . . . . . | 17 |
| 3.7.3 | Frequency-Domain (Inverse Fourier Transform) Representation . .                                   | 18 |
| 3.8   | Summary of Analytical Results . . . . .                                                           | 20 |

## Introduction

To describe a viscoelastic medium, we modify the stress–strain relation because the conservation of momentum is independent of the material behaviour. The viscoelastic stress–strain relation generalises the purely elastic case by introducing frequency-dependent complex moduli (or quality factor,  $Q$ ), or, equivalently, time-domain convolution integrals described by the Boltzmann superposition and causality principles:

$$\sigma(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \Psi(t - t') \dot{\epsilon}(t') dt'$$

where  $\Psi(t)$  is the relaxation function.

## 1 Governing Wave Equation

2D SH Wave:

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{yx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{yz}}{\partial z} + f_y \quad (1)$$

$$\sigma_{yx} = \mu \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma_{yz} = \mu \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial z} \quad (3)$$

1D SH Wave:

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{yx}}{\partial x} + f_y \quad (4)$$

- $\rho$ : mass density ( $\text{kg/m}^3$ )
- $u_y(x, z, t)$ : transverse displacement field (m)
- $\sigma_{yx}, \sigma_{yz}$ : shear stress components (Pa)
- $f_y$ : external force density ( $\text{N/m}^3$ )
- $x, z$ : spatial coordinates
- $t$ : time coordinate

## 2 ViscoElastic Wave Equation

### Kelvin–Voigt Model:

The Kelvin–Voigt model adds a velocity-dependent (strain-rate) damping term to the stress–strain relation. The modified stress components become:

$$\sigma_{yx} = \mu \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} + \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} \right) \quad (5)$$

$$\sigma_{yz} = \mu \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial z} + \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial z} \right) \quad (6)$$

Where  $\zeta$  is the viscosity coefficient. Substituting these into the equation of motion:

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial t^2} = \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial z^2} \right) + \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial z^2} \right) + A \delta(x) \delta(z) \delta(t) \quad (7)$$

Let  $\beta^2 = \mu/\rho$  (elastic shear-wave speed squared) and introduce the viscous parameter  $\gamma = \zeta/\rho$  called the kinematic viscosity.

Dividing (7) by  $\rho$ , the equation becomes:

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial t^2} = \beta^2 \nabla^2 u_y + \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla^2 u_y + \frac{A}{\rho} \delta(x) \delta(z) \delta(t)} \quad (8)$$

where:

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$$

We seek to find the causal Green's function  $u_y(x, z, t)$  for the wave equation in (8), i.e., the response to the source  $A \delta(x) \delta(z) \delta(t)$ .

## 3 The Cagniard–De Hoop Method

Given the 2D ViscoElastic SH wave equation using the Kelvin–Voigt Model:

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial t^2} = \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial z^2} \right) + \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial z^2} \right) + A \delta(x) \delta(z) \delta(t)$$

### 3.1 Step 1: Take the Laplace Transform with Respect to Time

The Laplace transform of a function  $f(t)$  is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}\{f(t)\} = \tilde{f}(s) = \int_0^\infty f(t)e^{-st}dt$$

Apply the Laplace transform to both sides of the equation. We will use the following properties of the Laplace transform:

1.  $\mathcal{L}\left\{\frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial t^2}\right\} = s^2 \tilde{u}_y - s u_y(x, z, 0) - \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial t}(x, z, 0)$
2.  $\mathcal{L}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial z^2}\right)\right\} = s\left(\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial z^2}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial z^2}\right)\Big|_{t=0}$
3.  $\mathcal{L}\{\delta(t)\} = 1$

Assuming initial conditions are zero (quiescent IC):

$$u_y(x, z, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial t}(x, z, 0) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial z^2}\right)\Big|_{t=0} = 0$$

The Laplace transform simplifies the equation to:

$$\rho(s^2 \tilde{u}_y) = \mu\left(\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial z^2}\right) + \zeta s\left(\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial z^2}\right) + A\delta(x)\delta(z)$$

#### Combine Like Terms

Combine the terms involving  $\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial z^2}$ :

$$\rho s^2 \tilde{u}_y = (\mu + \zeta s)\left(\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial z^2}\right) + A\delta(x)\delta(z)$$

#### Rearrange the Equation

Divide both sides by  $\rho$  to isolate  $\tilde{u}_y$ :

$$s^2 \tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{\mu + \zeta s}{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial z^2}\right) + \frac{A}{\rho}\delta(x)\delta(z)$$

Let  $\beta^2 = \frac{\mu}{\rho}$  and  $\gamma = \frac{\zeta}{\rho}$ , then the equation becomes:

$$s^2 \tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = (\beta^2 + \gamma s)\left(\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial z^2}\right) + \frac{A}{\rho}\delta(x)\delta(z)$$

#### Final Form of the Laplace-Transformed Equation

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial z^2} - \frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \tilde{u}_y = -\frac{A}{\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \delta(x) \delta(z)} \quad (9)$$

**Physical reading.** Define the complex,  $s$ -dependent “viscoelastic” shear speed  $c^2(s) = \beta^2 + \gamma s$ . The medium behaves like an elastic medium whose wavespeed depends on the Laplace variable. This single fact is the source of every difficulty in the Cagniard step below.

### 3.2 Step 2: Take the Fourier Transform in the x-Direction

The Fourier transform of a function  $f(x)$  is defined as:

$$\mathcal{F}\{f(x)\} = \hat{f}(k_x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-ik_x x} dx$$

Apply the Fourier transform to both sides of the equation. We will use the following properties of the Fourier transform:

1.  $\mathcal{F}\left\{\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial x^2}\right\} = -k_x^2 \hat{\tilde{u}}_y$
2.  $\mathcal{F}\left\{\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial z^2}\right\} = \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\tilde{u}}_y}{\partial z^2}$  (since the FT is taken only in  $x$ )
3.  $\mathcal{F}\{\tilde{u}_y\} = \hat{\tilde{u}}_y$
4.  $\mathcal{F}\{\delta(x)\} = 1$

Applying the Fourier transform to the equation (9):

$$\mathcal{F}\left\{\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial x^2}\right\} + \mathcal{F}\left\{\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_y}{\partial z^2}\right\} - \frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \mathcal{F}\{\tilde{u}_y\} = -\frac{A}{\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \mathcal{F}\{\delta(x)\} \delta(z)$$

Substituting the FT properties:

$$-k_x^2 \hat{\tilde{u}}_y + \frac{\partial^2 \hat{\tilde{u}}_y}{\partial z^2} - \frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \hat{\tilde{u}}_y = -\frac{A}{\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \cdot 1 \cdot \delta(z)$$

Combine the terms involving  $\hat{\tilde{u}}_y$ :

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{\tilde{u}}_y}{\partial z^2} - \left(k_x^2 + \frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}\right) \hat{\tilde{u}}_y = -\frac{A}{\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \delta(z)$$

Let  $\kappa^2 = k_x^2 + \frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}$ , then the equation simplifies to:

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_y}{\partial z^2} - \kappa^2(k_x, s) \hat{u}_y(k_x, z, s) = -\frac{A}{\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \delta(z)} \quad (10)$$

$$\boxed{\kappa^2(k_x, s) = k_x^2 + \frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}$$

### 3.3 Step 3: Solve the ODE in the z-Direction

The equation (10) above is a second-order ordinary differential equation (ODE) in  $z$  with a delta function source.

The general solution for  $z \neq 0$  is:

#### 3.3.1 Homogeneous Equation Solution

The homogeneous equation is:

$$\frac{d^2 \hat{u}_y}{dz^2} - \kappa^2 \hat{u}_y = 0$$

This is a linear second-order ODE with constant coefficients. The general solution is:

$$\hat{u}_y^{\text{hom}}(z) = \begin{cases} C_1 e^{\kappa z} + C_2 e^{-\kappa z} & z < 0 \\ C_3 e^{\kappa z} + C_4 e^{-\kappa z} & z > 0 \end{cases}$$

But we require **boundedness at infinity**:

- As  $z \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $e^{-\kappa z} \rightarrow \infty$  (since  $\text{Re}(\kappa) > 0$ )  $\implies C_2 = 0$
- As  $z \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $e^{\kappa z} \rightarrow \infty$  (since  $\text{Re}(\kappa) > 0$ )  $\implies C_3 = 0$

So the physically admissible (bounded) solution becomes:

$$\hat{u}_y^{\text{hom}}(z) = \begin{cases} C_1 e^{\kappa z} & z < 0 \\ C_4 e^{-\kappa z} & z > 0 \end{cases}$$

#### 3.3.2 Apply Discontinuity (Jump) Condition from $\delta$ -function

To account for the delta function at  $z = 0$ , we impose continuity and a jump condition in the derivative:

1. **Continuity at  $z = 0$ :**

$$\hat{u}_y(0^+) = \hat{u}_y(0^-)$$

2. **Jump condition in the derivative:** Integrate the ODE across  $z = 0$ :

$$\int_{0^-}^{0^+} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_y}{\partial z^2} dz - \kappa^2 \int_{0^-}^{0^+} \hat{u}_y dz = -\frac{A}{\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \int_{0^-}^{0^+} \delta(z) dz$$

The first integral gives the jump in the derivative:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \hat{u}_y}{\partial z} \right|_{0^+} - \left. \frac{\partial \hat{u}_y}{\partial z} \right|_{0^-} = -\frac{A}{\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)}$$

The second integral vanishes because  $\hat{u}_y$  is continuous.

For a symmetric solution (assuming decay as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ ):

- For  $z > 0$ :  $\hat{u}_y(z) = C e^{-\kappa z}$
- For  $z < 0$ :  $\hat{u}_y(z) = C e^{\kappa z}$

Applying the jump condition:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \hat{u}_y}{\partial z} \right|_{0^+} = -\kappa C, \quad \left. \frac{\partial \hat{u}_y}{\partial z} \right|_{0^-} = \kappa C$$

Thus:

$$-\kappa C - \kappa C = -\frac{A}{\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \implies -2\kappa C = -\frac{A}{\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)}$$

Solving for  $C$ :

$$C = \frac{A}{2\kappa\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)}$$

Hence the Fourier–Laplace solution is

$$\boxed{\hat{u}_y(k_x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \frac{e^{-\kappa|z|}}{\kappa}, \quad \kappa = \sqrt{k_x^2 + \frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}} \quad (11)$$

This is the **Fourier–Laplace transformed displacement field**  $\hat{u}_y(k_x, z, s)$  for the viscoelastic wave equation under a point force source.

### 3.4 Step 4: Take the Inverse Spatial Fourier Transform of the Fourier–Laplace Transformed Solution

Given Fourier-Laplace Space Solution

$$\hat{u}_y(k_x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\kappa\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} e^{-\kappa|z|}$$

where

$$\kappa = \sqrt{k_x^2 + \frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}$$

$$\hat{u}_y(k_x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \cdot \frac{e^{-\kappa|z|}}{\kappa}$$

### Inverse Fourier Transform in $x$

The inverse Fourier transform is defined as:

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\hat{u}_y(k_x, z, s)\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}_y(k_x, z, s) e^{ik_x x} dk_x$$

Substituting  $\hat{u}_y$ :

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{A}{4\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\kappa|z|}}{\kappa} e^{ik_x x} dk_x$$

### Simplify the Integral

The integral to solve is:

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\kappa|z|}}{\kappa} e^{ik_x x} dk_x$$

Let  $\kappa = \sqrt{k_x^2 + \kappa_s^2}$ , where  $\kappa_s^2 = \frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}$ . The integral becomes:

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{k_x^2 + \kappa_s^2}|z|}}{\sqrt{k_x^2 + \kappa_s^2}} e^{ik_x x} dk_x$$

### Using Known Integral Results

The integral above is a known integral representation of the modified Bessel function of the second kind  $K_0$ . This integral is a standard form (Gradshteyn–Ryzhik; the 2D free-space Helmholtz/Green identity) whose result is known from tables of Fourier transforms or Green's functions [1]. The result is:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{k_x^2 + \kappa_s^2}|z|}}{\sqrt{k_x^2 + \kappa_s^2}} e^{ik_x x} dk_x = 2K_0(\kappa_s \sqrt{x^2 + z^2})$$

where  $K_0$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order zero, valid for  $\text{Re}(\kappa_s) > 0$ .

### Another Representation:



The connection to Hankel functions is given by:

$$K_0(z) = \frac{i\pi}{2} H_0^{(1)}(iz), \quad H_0^{(1)}(iz) = -\frac{2i}{\pi} K_0(z)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{k_x^2 + \alpha^2}|z|}}{\sqrt{k_x^2 + \alpha^2}} e^{ik_x x} dk_x = i\pi H_0^{(1)}(i\kappa_s \sqrt{x^2 + z^2})$$

so the same integral may be written  $I = i\pi H_0^{(1)}(i\kappa_s \sqrt{x^2 + z^2})$ . where  $H_0^{(1)}$  is the Hankel function of the first kind. However, for decaying (non-oscillatory) solutions, the correct representation is the following:

$$I = 2K_0 \left( \kappa_s \sqrt{x^2 + z^2} \right)$$

Thus, the inverse Fourier transform yields:

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{A}{4\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \cdot 2K_0 \left( \kappa_s \sqrt{x^2 + z^2} \right)$$

Simplify, writing  $R = \sqrt{x^2 + z^2}$ :

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} K_0(\kappa_s R)$$

where:

$$\kappa_s = \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}} = \frac{s}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}$$

Therefore the *exact closed-form Laplace-domain Green's function* is

$$\boxed{\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} K_0 \left( \frac{s R}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}} \right), \quad R = \sqrt{x^2 + z^2}} \quad (12)$$

This is a complete, correct solution in the Laplace domain. It remains only to invert it to time.

### 3.4.1 Integral Manipulation (CDH Substitution)

To prepare for the Cagniard–De Hoop inversion, substitute  $k_x = isp$  into the inverse FT integral. This maps the real  $k_x$ -axis onto the imaginary  $p$ -axis and parametrises the solution in the slowness variable  $p$  (which will later be deformed onto the Cagniard path).

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\hat{u}_y(k_x, z, s)\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}_y(k_x, z, s) e^{ik_x x} dk_x$$

Under  $k_x = isp$  the mapping is  $p = -ik_x/s$ , so when  $k_x$  runs from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$ ,  $p$  runs from  $+i\infty$  to  $-i\infty$ , and  $dk_x = is dp$ . Thus

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{+i\infty}^{-i\infty} \hat{u}_y(isp, z, s) e^{i(isp)x} (is dp).$$

The Fourier-Laplace solution, equation (11), before the inverse Fourier transform was:

$$\hat{u}_y(k_x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \cdot \frac{e^{-\kappa|z|}}{\kappa}, \quad \kappa = \sqrt{k_x^2 + \frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}$$

Substitute  $k_x = isp$ :

$$\kappa = \sqrt{(isp)^2 + \frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}} = \sqrt{-s^2 p^2 + \frac{s^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}} = s \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - p^2}$$

**Notation:** define the *vertical slowness*

$$\nu(p, s) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - p^2}$$

so that  $\kappa = s\nu$ . (Note:  $\nu$  depends on the Laplace variable  $s$  through the effective wave-speed  $c_s = \sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}$ )

$$\hat{u}_y(isp, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \cdot \frac{e^{-s\nu|z|}}{s\nu}$$

The inverse Fourier transform becomes:

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{+i\infty}^{-i\infty} \frac{A}{2\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \cdot \frac{e^{-s\nu|z|}}{s\nu} e^{-spx} (is dp)$$

Simplify ( $is/s = i$ , cancel  $s$ ):

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{iA}{4\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \int_{+i\infty}^{-i\infty} \frac{e^{-s(px + \nu|z|)}}{\nu} dp$$

Flipping the limits introduces a minus sign:

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{A}{4\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \int_{+i\infty}^{-i\infty} \frac{ie^{-s(px+\nu|z|)}}{\nu} dp = \frac{-A}{4\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} \frac{ie^{-s(px+\nu|z|)}}{\nu} dp$$

$$\nu = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - p^2}, \quad \beta^2 = \frac{\mu}{\rho}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\zeta}{\rho}$$

### 3.5 Step 5: Cagniard Path

The next step is to deform the integration contour in the complex  $p$ -plane such that the exponent  $-s(px + \nu|z|)$  becomes real and positive, simplifying the inversion.

The integral over  $p$  running from  $-i\infty$  to  $+i\infty$  can first be converted using the symmetry of the integrand on the imaginary  $p$ -axis. Setting  $p = iq$  (real  $q$ ), one verifies that  $\nu(iq) = \sqrt{c_s^{-2} + q^2}$  is real and that the integrand is even in  $q$  in its real part and odd in its imaginary part, giving:

$$\int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} \frac{-ie^{-s(px+\nu|z|)}}{\nu} dp = 2\text{Im} \left\{ \int_0^{+i\infty} \frac{e^{-s(px+\nu|z|)}}{\nu} dp \right\}$$

Therefore:

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \text{Im} \left\{ \int_0^{+i\infty} \frac{e^{-s(px+\nu|z|)}}{\nu} dp \right\} \quad (13)$$

**Important Explanation:** In the lossless case ( $\gamma = 0$ ) one has  $\nu = \sqrt{\beta^{-2} - p^2}$ , which is *independent of  $s$* , so the exponent is exactly  $-s w(p)$  with  $w(p) = px + \nu|z|$ , and the Cagniard map  $w(p) = \tau$  yields the time-domain answer by inspection. For Kelvin–Voigt,  $\nu = \nu(p, s)$  *depends on  $s$* . Consequently  $px + \nu|z|$  is *not* of the form  $w(p)$  alone, the Cagniard contour itself becomes  $s$ -dependent, and the slowness integral is *not* a forward Laplace transform. The inversion therefore **cannot be completed in elementary closed form by the standard Cagniard recipe**. This is a structural feature of dissipative media: the classical Cagniard–De Hoop technique applies to piecewise-homogeneous, loss-free media; with dissipation the inverse transform is generally left as a (single) integral evaluated analytically only in special limits or numerically otherwise.

#### 3.5.1 The Cagniard Path Equation

Define the Cagniard travel-time variable:

$$\tau = px + \nu|z|$$

Isolate the term containing  $\nu$  and square both sides:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau - px &= \nu|z| \\ (\tau - px)^2 &= \nu^2 z^2 \\ \tau^2 - 2\tau px + p^2 x^2 &= \nu^2 z^2\end{aligned}$$

Substitute the definition  $\nu^2 = \frac{1}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - p^2$ :

$$\tau^2 - 2\tau px + p^2 x^2 = \left( \frac{1}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - p^2 \right) z^2$$

Expand the right side:

$$\tau^2 - 2\tau px + p^2 x^2 = \frac{z^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - p^2 z^2$$

Group all terms on the left side to form a quadratic equation in terms of  $p$ :

$$p^2(x^2 + z^2) - 2\tau xp + \tau^2 - \frac{z^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} = 0$$

Apply the substitution  $R^2 = x^2 + z^2$ :

$$R^2 p^2 - (2\tau x)p + \left( \tau^2 - \frac{z^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \right) = 0$$

Apply the quadratic formula  $p = \frac{-B \pm \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A}$ :

$$p = \frac{2\tau x \pm \sqrt{(-2\tau x)^2 - 4(R^2) \left( \tau^2 - \frac{z^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \right)}}{2R^2}$$

Let's simplify the discriminant ( $\Delta$ ) under the square root:

$$\Delta = 4\tau^2 x^2 - 4R^2 \tau^2 + \frac{4R^2 z^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}$$

Substitute  $R^2 = x^2 + z^2$  exclusively into the middle term to cancel the  $x^2$  components:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= 4 \left[ \tau^2 x^2 - (x^2 + z^2) \tau^2 + \frac{R^2 z^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \right] \\ \Delta &= 4 \left[ \tau^2 x^2 - \tau^2 x^2 - \tau^2 z^2 + \frac{R^2 z^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \right] \\ \Delta &= 4 \left[ -\tau^2 z^2 + \frac{R^2 z^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \right]\end{aligned}$$

Factor out  $z^2$ :

$$\Delta = 4z^2 \left( \frac{R^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - \tau^2 \right)$$

Substitute the simplified discriminant back into the quadratic formula for  $p$ :

$$p = \frac{2\tau x \pm \sqrt{4z^2 \left( \frac{R^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - \tau^2 \right)}}{2R^2}$$

Take the square root of  $4z^2$  (which yields  $2z$ ) and divide the numerator and denominator by 2:

$$p(\tau) = \frac{\tau x \pm z \sqrt{\frac{R^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - \tau^2}}{R^2}$$

Applying the quadratic formula yields the Cagniard path:

$$p(\tau) = \frac{x\tau \pm |z| \sqrt{\frac{R^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - \tau^2}}{R^2}$$

Let  $T_s = R/\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}$  denote the *Laplace-domain arrival time*. Then:

- For  $\tau < T_s$ :  $p(\tau)$  is real (the path lies on the real  $p$ -axis).
- For  $\tau \geq T_s$ :  $p(\tau)$  becomes complex,  $p(\tau) = \frac{x\tau}{R^2} + i \frac{|z|}{R^2} \sqrt{\tau^2 - T_s^2}$ , and this is the *Cagniard path*.

### 3.5.2 Derivative of $p$ Along the Cagniard Path

Differentiate  $\tau = px + \nu|z|$  implicitly with respect to  $\tau$ :

$$1 = x \frac{dp}{d\tau} + |z| \frac{d\nu}{d\tau}, \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{d\nu}{d\tau} = \frac{-p}{\nu} \frac{dp}{d\tau}$$

Solving:

$$1 = \frac{dp}{d\tau} \left( x - \frac{p|z|}{\nu} \right)$$

$$\boxed{\frac{dp}{d\tau} = \frac{\nu}{x\nu - p|z|}} \quad (14)$$

### Key Algebraic Identity

Taking the square of the denominator in (14):

$$\begin{aligned} \left( x\nu - p|z| \right)^2 &= x^2\nu^2 - 2xp\nu|z| + p^2z^2 \\ &= x^2 \left( \frac{1}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - p^2 \right) - 2xp\nu|z| + p^2z^2 \\ &= \frac{x^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - x^2p^2 - 2xp\nu|z| + p^2z^2 \\ &= -(x^2p^2 - p^2z^2) - 2xp\nu|z| + \frac{x^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \\ &= -\left( \tau^2 - \frac{z^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - 2px\nu|z| \right) - 2xp\nu|z| + \frac{x^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \\ &= -\tau^2 + \frac{z^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} + \frac{x^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \\ &= \frac{x^2 + z^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - \tau^2 = \frac{R^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - \tau^2 \\ &\boxed{(x\nu - p|z|)^2 = \frac{R^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - \tau^2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$x\nu - p|z| = \begin{cases} +\sqrt{\frac{R^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} - \tau^2}, & \tau < T_s = \tau < \frac{R}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}} \\ -i\sqrt{\tau^2 - \frac{R^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}, & \tau \geq T_s = \tau \geq \frac{R}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

The sign in the second case is determined by the Cagniard branch chosen. Remember

that the integral to be deformed runs from  $p = 0$  to  $p = +i\infty$  (positive imaginary axis, see equation (13)), so we must use the *upper* Cagniard branch, for which  $\text{Im}(p) > 0$ :

$$p_+(\tau) = \frac{x\tau}{R^2} + i \frac{|z|\sqrt{\tau^2 - T_s^2}}{R^2}$$

Direct calculation confirms that for  $p_+$  the denominator is *purely imaginary with a negative imaginary part*:

$$x\nu - p|z| = -i\sqrt{\tau^2 - T_s^2}, \quad \tau \geq T_s \quad (\text{upper branch } p_+).$$

### 3.6 Step 6: CDH Contour Deformation and Identification as Laplace Transform

Recall from equation (13) Step 5:

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \text{Im} \left\{ \int_0^{+i\infty} \frac{e^{-s(px + \nu|z|)}}{\nu} dp \right\}$$

The Cagniard path  $\mathcal{C}$  is parametrised by real  $\tau \geq T_s$ :

$$p(\tau) = \frac{x\tau}{R^2} + i \frac{|z|\sqrt{\tau^2 - T_s^2}}{R^2}, \quad T_s = \frac{R}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}$$

#### 3.6.1 Contour Deformation

The original contour runs along the imaginary  $p$ -axis from 0 to  $+i\infty$ . By Cauchy's theorem (no singularities are enclosed by the deformation), this may be replaced by:

1. A segment along the *real*  $p$ -axis from  $p = 0$  to  $p = p(T_s) = xT_s/R^2$ . On this real segment  $\nu$  is real and positive, so the integrand is *real*; its imaginary part is zero.
2. The Cagniard path  $\mathcal{C}$  from  $\tau = T_s$  to  $\tau = +\infty$ .

Hence only the Cagniard path contributes to the imaginary part:

$$\text{Im} \left\{ \int_0^{+i\infty} \frac{e^{-s(px + \nu|z|)}}{\nu} dp \right\} = \text{Im} \left\{ \int_{T_s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s\tau}}{\nu} \frac{dp}{d\tau} d\tau \right\}$$

where we have used  $px + \nu|z| = \tau$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  so  $e^{-s(px + \nu|z|)} = e^{-s\tau}$  is real.

#### 3.6.2 Evaluating $\text{Im}\{(1/\nu) dp/d\tau\}$ on the Cagniard Path

From (14):

$$\frac{1}{\nu} \frac{dp}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{x\nu - p|z|}$$

On the upper Cagniard path ( $\tau \geq T_s$ ) we showed  $x\nu - p|z| = -i\sqrt{\tau^2 - T_s^2}$ , so:

$$\left. \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{dp}{d\tau} \right|_c = \frac{1}{-i\sqrt{\tau^2 - T_s^2}} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - T_s^2}}$$

which is *purely imaginary with positive imaginary part*. Therefore:

$$\text{Im} \left\{ \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{dp}{d\tau} \right\}_c = \text{Im} \left\{ \frac{i}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - T_s^2}} \right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - T_s^2}}$$

### 3.6.3 The CDH Integral Representation

Combining all the above:

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \int_{T_s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s\tau}}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - T_s^2}} d\tau$$

**Consistency check.** The standard integral representation of the modified Bessel function is:

$$K_0(as) = \int_a^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s\tau}}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - a^2}} d\tau, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 0, a > 0$$

See 3.961.2 of [1]

Setting,  $a = T_s = R/\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}$  we immediately recover the result from Step 4 (equation (12)):

$$\boxed{\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} K_0 \left( \frac{sR}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}} \right)}$$

confirming the two independent derivations (direct  $K_0$  evaluation and Cagniard–De Hoop contour deformation) are consistent.

## 3.7 Step 7: Inverse Laplace Transform and Time-Domain Solution

The exact causal full time-domain Green's function solution is recovered by the Bromwich inversion integral:



$$u_y(x, z, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{A}{2\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} K_0\left(\frac{sR}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}\right) e^{st} ds, \quad c > 0$$

### 3.7.1 (a) Elastic Limit ( $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ ): The Exact Closed-Form Time-Domain Green's Function Solution

When  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$  the Kelvin–Voigt model reduces to the purely elastic medium with shear-wave speed  $\beta$ . Setting  $\gamma = 0$ :

- The effective wave speed  $\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \rightarrow \beta$  (constant,  $s$ -independent).
- $T_s \rightarrow T_0 = R/\beta$  (constant arrival time).
- The CDH integral becomes:

$$\tilde{u}_y^{\text{elastic}}(x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho\beta^2} \int_{R/\beta}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s\tau}}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - R^2/\beta^2}} d\tau = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho\beta^2} K_0\left(\frac{sR}{\beta}\right)$$

The lower limit  $T_0 = R/\beta$  is now *independent of  $s$* , so the integral is a genuine one-sided Laplace transform. Using the standard pair

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{\frac{H(t - \tau_0)}{\sqrt{t^2 - \tau_0^2}}\right\}(s) = K_0(s\tau_0), \quad \tau_0 = \frac{R}{\beta}; \quad \tau_0 > 0$$

we recover the classical 2D SH Green's function exactly:

$$\boxed{u_y^{\text{elastic}}(x, z, t) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho\beta^2} \frac{H\left(t - \frac{R}{\beta}\right)}{\sqrt{t^2 - \frac{R^2}{\beta^2}}}, \quad R = \sqrt{x^2 + z^2}} \quad (16)$$

where  $R = \sqrt{x^2 + z^2}$  and  $H(\cdot)$  is the Heaviside step function. This is the well-known 2D SH-wave Green's function for a homogeneous elastic medium: the signal arrives sharply at  $t = R/\beta$  and decays as  $t^{-1}$  for large  $t$ .

### 3.7.2 (b) Viscoelastic Case ( $\gamma > 0$ ): exact single-integral (Bromwich) representation

For  $\gamma > 0$  the effective arrival time  $T_s = R/\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}$  depends on  $s$ , so from Cagniard–de Hoop (CDH) method, the Laplace-transformed displacement is:

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \int_{T_s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s\tau}}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - T_s^2}} d\tau, \quad T_s = \frac{R}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}$$

valid for  $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ , with  $R = \sqrt{x^2 + z^2}$ .

is *not* a Laplace transform of a fixed function of  $\tau$ , because both the prefactor and the integration limits depend on  $s$ . Using the standard integral representation described above, we have:

$$\tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} K_0\left(\frac{sR}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}\right) \quad (17)$$

The exact causal time-domain solution is the inverse Laplace transform of (17) obtained from the Bromwich integral:

$$u_y(x, z, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \tilde{u}_y(x, z, s) e^{st} ds, \quad c > 0$$

Substituting (17):

$$u_y(x, z, t) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} K_0\left(\frac{sR}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}\right) e^{st} ds \quad (18)$$

This is the **exact Bromwich representation** – the fundamental starting point for numerical or analytical evaluation. It is exact, causal, and valid for  $\gamma \geq 0$  (including elastic when  $\gamma = 0$ , though then there's a branch point at  $s = 0$ ). For  $\gamma > 0$ , the integrand is analytic except for branch cuts and possibly essential singularities (as noted at  $s = -\beta^2/\gamma$ ). It may be reduced to a *single real integral* suitable for computation. Using the Cagniard-type branch relation (15) in (13), the time-domain field is

$$u_y(x, z, t) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} \int_{\tau_s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-st'}}{\sqrt{t'^2 - \frac{R^2}{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}} H(t' - \tau_s) dt' \right\}, \quad \tau_s = \frac{R}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}} \quad (19)$$

Equivalently, the dissipative solution is the elastic kernel (16) convolved with the inverse transform of the  $s$ -dependent dispersion factor; in practice (18) is evaluated by a numerical Laplace inversion (e.g. Talbot's method or fixed-Bromwich quadrature), which is the accepted state of the art for Kelvin–Voigt media.

### 3.7.3 Frequency-Domain (Inverse Fourier Transform) Representation

The Laplace transform  $\tilde{u}_y(s)$  possesses an *essential singularity* at  $s = -\beta^2/\gamma$ : as  $s \rightarrow -\beta^2/\gamma$  from the right the argument of  $K_0$  diverges along the negative real axis and  $K_0$

grows exponentially, so the Bromwich contour cannot be closed to the left past that point.

The time-domain result is obtained by moving the Bromwich contour to the imaginary axis ( $c \rightarrow 0^+$ ), giving the equivalent *inverse Fourier transform*:

Setting  $s = i\omega + \varepsilon$  with  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ :

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} F(s) e^{st} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(i\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega$$

when  $F(s)$  is analytic on and to the right of the imaginary axis and decays sufficiently.

Here:

$$F(s) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} K_0\left(\frac{sR}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}}\right)$$

Thus:

$$F(i\omega) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta^2 + i\gamma\omega} K_0\left(\frac{i\omega R}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + i\gamma\omega}}\right)$$

Multiplying by  $1/(2\pi)$  from the Fourier inversion formula gives:

$$u_y(x, z, t) = \frac{A}{4\pi^2\rho} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{K_0\left(\frac{i\omega R}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + i\gamma\omega}}\right)}{\beta^2 + i\gamma\omega} e^{i\omega t} d\omega$$

For  $\omega > 0$ , the identity  $K_0(iz) = \frac{i\pi}{2} H_0^{(1)}(z)$  (with  $\text{Im}(z) > 0$ ) and the complex wavenumber  $k(\omega) = \omega/c(\omega)$ ,  $c(\omega) = \sqrt{(\mu + i\omega\eta)/\rho}$ , give:

$$K_0\left(\frac{i\omega R}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + i\gamma\omega}}\right) = \frac{i\pi}{2} H_0^{(1)}(k(\omega) R)$$

confirming the standard outgoing cylindrical-wave form of the 2D Green's function for an attenuating-dispersive medium. The integral is evaluated numerically.

### General Notes:

- Because  $|c(\omega)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ , the Kelvin–Voigt model is *not strictly causal* at infinite frequency; consequently  $u_y > 0$  for all  $t > 0$  (no sharp wave front).
- The imaginary part of  $k(\omega)$  provides spatial attenuation; the real part of  $c(\omega)$  varies with frequency (dispersion), smearing the waveform relative to the elastic case.
- As  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ :  $c(\omega) \rightarrow \beta$ ,  $k(\omega) \rightarrow \omega/\beta$ , and the integral reduces to the elastic closed form  $u_y^{\text{elastic}}$ .

### Why the CDH form cannot be directly inverted

In the elastic case ( $\gamma = 0$ ),  $T_s = R/\beta$  is constant, and (18) reduces to a known inverse Laplace transform:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta^2} K_0 \left( \frac{sR}{\beta} \right) \right\} = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{H(t - R/\beta)}{\sqrt{t^2 - (R/\beta)^2}}$$

For  $\gamma > 0$  no elementary closed form exists. For  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $T_s$  depends on  $s$ , so the representation

$$\tilde{u}_y = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \int_{T_s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s\tau}}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - T_s^2}} d\tau$$

is **not** a simple Laplace transform of a fixed  $\tau$ -function – the  $s$ -dependence inside the integral and the limit  $T_s$  prevent direct term-by-term inversion. Hence, one must invert the compact  $K_0$  form (18) directly.

## 3.8 Summary of Analytical Results

| Domain                   | Elastic ( $\gamma = 0$ )                                                                        | Kelvin–Voigt ( $\gamma > 0$ )                                                                                                                                                                      |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Laplace                  | $\frac{A}{2\pi\rho\beta^2} K_0 \left( \frac{sR}{\beta} \right)$                                 | $\frac{A}{2\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} K_0 \left( \frac{sR}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}} \right)$                                                                                                   |
| CDH integral             | $\frac{A}{2\pi\rho\beta^2} \int_{R/\beta}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-st}}{\sqrt{t^2 - R^2/\beta^2}} dt$ | $\frac{A}{2\pi\rho(\beta^2 + \gamma s)} \int_{T_s}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-st}}{\sqrt{t^2 - T_s^2}} dt$                                                                                                 |
| Time domain (Brownwich)  | $\frac{A}{2\pi\rho\beta^2} \frac{H(t - R/\beta)}{\sqrt{t^2 - R^2/\beta^2}}$                     | $u_y(x, z, t) = \frac{A}{2\pi\rho} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \times$<br>$\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^2 + \gamma s} K_0 \left( \frac{sR}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}} \right) e^{st} ds$ |
| Frequency representation | -                                                                                               | $\frac{A}{4\pi^2\rho} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{K_0 \left( \frac{i\omega R}{\sqrt{\beta^2 + i\gamma\omega}} \right)}{\beta^2 + i\gamma\omega} e^{i\omega t} d\omega$                           |

where  $R = \sqrt{x^2 + z^2}$ ,  $\beta^2 = \mu/\rho$ ,  $\gamma = \zeta/\rho$ , and  $T_s = R/\sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma s}$ .

## References

- [1] Izrail Solomonovich Gradshteyn and Iosif Moiseevich Ryzhik. *Table of integrals, series, and products*. Academic press, 2014.